

Advancing CO2 and Hydrogen Storage in Lithuania: A machine learning and Digital Rock Physics Approach

Mayur Pal| GeoEnergy Lab @ MLAB – KTU, Lithuania 2025-03-25 – ECO Al workshop, Heriot-Watt University



KTU - AI Centre

GeoEnergy Lab

Head of the Laboratory – Mayur Pal

Priority research areas:

- Mathematical Modelling/Applied Mathematics
- Application of AI and ML Technologies
- Sustainable energy developments:
 - Geothermal
 - Hydrogen
 - CCS

Team Members:

- Pijus Makauskas, PhD Student (Subsurface modelling)
- Abdul Rashid, PhD Student (Geothermal)
- Shankar Lal, PhD Student (Hydrogen)
- Shruti Malik, Postdoctoral Researcher (CCS)
- leva Kaminskaite, Postdoctoral Researcher (Geothermal)
- Apoorv Verma, Postdoctoral Researcher (Hydrogen)
- Vaibhav Valmik, Masters student (AI and ML)
- Parsa Alimohammadiardakani, Bachelors (CCS, AI and ML)



GeoEnergy Lab

Research Thematic: Carbon Capture Storage (CCS)

Context: Research area CCS

• Modelling and Experimental Analysis of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS)





Successful CO2 Injection Pilot Test conducted







Well	Injection Start	Injection Duration (days)	Injected CO ₂ (tons)
DEG-1	2013	49	988
PS-5	2014	2	40
POC-3	2015	2	40
PS-2A	2016	11	253

Carbon Capture Storage (CCS) in Gargzdai Residual Oil Zone





- Gargzdai has large residual oil zone.
- Storage estimates around ~100 Mln Tons*
- Proper modelling and experimental assessment is
 required for storage capacity and risk assessment for
 long term storage

Malik, Shruti; Makauskas, Pijus; Karaliūtė, Viltė; Pal, Mayur; Sharma, Ravi. Assessing the geological storage potential of CO2 in Baltic Basin: a case study of Lithuanian hydrocarbon and deep saline reservoirs // International journal of greenhouse gas control. London : Elsevier. ISSN 1750-5836. elSSN 1878-0148. 2024, vol. 133, art. no. 104097, p. 1-16. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2024.104097.

What does it involve?

Subsurface flow modelling and Experimental Investigations

ktu

Modelling & simulation of subsurface flow

 $\nabla \cdot (K \times \nabla \phi) = S\dot{\phi} - Q$ Recharge rate: Q Potential time derivative: $\dot{\phi}$ õ 0.5-Storage coefficient: $S = \frac{-\Delta V_w}{\Delta x \Delta y \Delta h}$ $\frac{\partial(\phi\rho_{\alpha}s_{\alpha})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \{\rho_{\alpha}u_{\alpha}\} = \frac{q_{\alpha}}{\rho_{\alpha}}$ 0.5 0.0 Ox Distribution of p using FVM Each phase α has its: Source: q_{α} Density: ρ_{α} õ 0.5 Saturation: S_{α} Velocity: u_{α} 0.0-0.0 0.5 Ox

(b)

Permeability distribution 1.0 -0.5-0.5 1.0

Experimental Investigations



The Core FlowLoop Tester instrument (left) and the accumulator and core holder within the closed chamber of the instrument (right) (Grace Instruments).







Original Image

Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization (CLAHE adjusted Image

Why is it important ? Impact of Heterogeneity on Subsurface Flow Three different realistic scenarios honoring PHIE GR 20 % log porosity 30 20 over 2 ft vertical window 10 Tabular heterogeneity Commonly observed in carbonate platform top facies Typical oil bearing facies 20 p.u. Nodular heterogeneity Commonly observed in carbonate bioturbated facies Cell: 2ft x 50m x 50m Typical transition facies Random heterogeneity Commonly observed at thin section scale Typical micrograinstone microporous facies All these textures can be described in a PHIE Upscaled geostatistical sense, and implemented and resolution grid tested in a box model. ~ 3 ft





*Pijus Makauskas and Mayur Pal, Comparative study of modelling flows in porous media for engineering applications using finite volume and artificial neural network methods, Journal of Engineering with Computers, 2023, DOI:10.1007/s00366-023-01814-x

*Pijus Makauskas and Mayur Pal, Comparative study of modelling flows in porous media for engineering applications using finite volume and artificial neural network methods, Journal of Engineering with Computers, 2023, DOI:10.1007/s00366-023-01814-x

Neural Solutions of Elliptic Pressure Equation*

Pressure distribution using CDNN Pressure distribution using CDNN Pressure distribution using CDNN

Permeability distribution

*Pijus Makauskas and Mayur Pal, Comparative study of modelling flows in porous media for engineering applications using finite volume and artificial neural network methods, Journal of Engineering with Computers, 2023, DOI:10.1007/s00366-023-01814-x

*Pijus Makauskas and Mayur Pal, Comparative study of modelling flows in porous media for engineering applications using finite volume and artificial neural network methods, Journal of Engineering with Computers, 2023, DOI:10.1007/s00366-023-01814-x

*Pal, Mayur; Makauskas, Pijus; Malik, Shruti. Upscaling porous media using neural networks: a deep learning approach to homogenization and averaging // Processes. ISSN 2227-9717. 2023, vol. 11, iss. 2, art. no. 601, p. 1-21. DOI: 10.3390/pr11020601.

14

Research Topic Highlights – AI and ML in subsurface Modelling*

Pal, Mayur. On application of machine learning method for history matching and forecasting of times series data from hydrocarbon recovery process using water flooding // 15 Petroleum science and technology. ISSN 1091-6466. eISSN 1532-2459. 2021, vol. 39, iss. 15-16, p. 519-549. DOI: 10.1080/10916466.2021.1918712.

What does it involve ? Subsurface flow modelling and Experimental Investigations

Experimental Investigations – CCS

Laboratory measurements

- Scanning of the core samples: Micro Xray-Computed Tomography (MXCT) scans were obtained using Skyscan 1275 © Bruker.
- Scanning resolution: The samples are scanned at two different resolutions, low resolution (22um) and high resolution (8um).

Instrument used for scanning core samples

ktu

Core sample for low resolution scanning (22um)

Research Topic Highlights – CCS (Subsurface Storage Investigations)

 In this study, sandstone samples are used, primarily composed of quartz as the dominant mineralogy with minor occurrences of clay minerals.

Sample name	Porosity (%)	Permeability (mD)			
S 1	21.60	275			
S2	19.90	62			
S3	20.22	327			

resolution of 8um. The 3D volume size is 1020 X

1020 X 1014 pixels.

1.5inch and, (b) raw 3D volume generated from MXCT imaging at resolution of 22um. The 3D volume size is 941 X 941 X 601 pixels.

Table: Benchmarking of the porosity values with laboratory measured values

Research Topic Highlights – CCS (Subsurface Storage Investigations) Micro Xry CT sca of the core snalogous to Lattice Boltzmann Method

- In the LBM, fluid is considered as a collection of particles that are represented by a probability distribution function at each discrete lattice node. The Lattice Boltzmann equation updates the probability distribution function at each time step and from this the velocities are calculated.
- LBM has 2 main steps: collision and streaming

Collision: $\widetilde{f}_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) = f_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) - \frac{1}{\tau} \cdot (f_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) - f^{eq}_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t))$

Streaming: $f_{\alpha}(\vec{x} + \vec{v_{\alpha}} \cdot \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = \tilde{f}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}, t)$

Porosity and permeability

estimation on sub-volumes

to determine the

representative element

volume (REV).

Lattice Boltzmann

flow simulation to

determine

permeability

Figure: From left to right: Raw core sample, Scanned 3D volume, its segmented 3D volume and LBM simulated output, where blue thread like distribution shows the fluid flow through the pore space.

Figure: Illustration of the sub-volume extraction for fluid flow simulation

Research Topic Highlights – CCS (Subsurface Storage Investigations)

Results: Permeability estimation on subvolumes using LBM simulation.

Sample	Sub- volumes	Permeability using LBM (mD)	Average permeability (mD)	Laboratory (mD)	Error (%)	
S1	1	282				
	2	307	217	275	15	
	3	360	517	275		
	4	320				
S2	1	83			47	
	2	105	01	60		
	3	100	91	02		
	4	77				
S3 -	1	445				
	2	334	270	277	12	
	3	370	570	521	13	
	4	330				

Research Topic Highlights – CCS (Subsurface Storage Investigations) Re-evaluation methodology FWLC API Oil Gravity EWLP Kv/kh of Kb-2h, Kb-3h, (2) Static model Kb-4h Fault Sealing Capacit Static ligh Value (5) Development (8) Deterministic 100 scheme Mechanistic Models (6) 100 Model 1 Model 4 (3) STOIIP Tornado (7) CDF Low, Mid & High ore volume*1.1 Recovery (4) History Tornado Match Η Recoverv lost Likely ore volume*1.0 Model 2 Model - N Low Value 000 2000 002 Model 3 6000

Based on Principles of Uncertainty (probabilistic Modelling) + Thermodynamics and Phase Behavior

Research Topic Highlights – CCS (Subsurface Storage Investigations)

Gargzdai Oil Zone							
	Low	Mid	High				
CO2	64	94	267				
(Mt)							

Syderiai		D11		Vaskai					
	Low	Mid	High	Low	Mid	High	Low	Mid	High
CO2	54	80	232	17	25	69	25	37	106
(Mt)									

CCS: Summary

- The present work provides a comprehensive analysis of CO_2 storage feasibility in Lithuanian formations.
- The present work can be further extended to provide a coupled geo-mechanical and geochemical impact on the injection and long-term storage integrity of CO_2 .
- The present study focuses on interactions at the core scale, whereas interactions primarily occur at the pore scale.
- To examine the changes occurring at the pore scale, future work will include studying microfluidic interactions, which will further enhance the understanding of Lithuanian reservoirs.

